

**Future Land Use Citizen Engagement Meeting
Thursday, March 20, 2014**

Questions

- Status of Armstrong Interchange?
- Status of River Crossing?





Comments

- Rural Character – why we moved here
- Concerns with apartments/condos
- Property Owner wants development potential Al & Joni Greenwaldt
 - Commercial, Industrial or Residential
 - High volume
 - County Road 64/181st Avenue
 - 181st Avenue small area plan – coordinate with Nowthen
 - Assisted living?
- Protect Rural Character of Ramsey
- Variolite Street – Protect Wetland
 - Wetlands by here = no active park
- Roadway
- Conservation/wiser subdivision
- Transportation capacity
- Water supply
 - Wetlands/surface water
- Wetland Hydrology changing – wildlife habitat too
- Industrial development – available space
 - What types
 - 50-610 k wholesale
 - 100 k manufacturing
 - Highway 10 capacity
 - Any redevelopment potential – infill
- Business Park – Pearson
- Brownfield vs. Greenfield Development
- Plan to fill water feature (use river water, not well)

Ramsey2040

Reviewing our Future Land Use Vision

The Vision

1. Looks great! No changes.
 30%
2. Looks good. Needs a few changes or rearrangement.
 40%
3. I am neutral on this subject.
 10%
4. Looks ok, but could use a substantial re-write
 20%
5. The City should look at completely re-writing this vision.
0%

- Without compromising private property rights and needs of future generations, Ramsey will evolve through citizen driven, collaborative processes that respect the balance and connectivity between its unique urban, rural, and natural environments.

Encourage walkability through pedestrian friendly neighborhoods and transportation

1. Strongly agree



2. Somewhat agree



3. Neutral



4. Somewhat disagree



5. Strongly disagree

0%

Maintain land owner rights

1. Strongly agree



2. Somewhat agree

0%

3. Neutral

0%

4. Somewhat disagree

0%

5. Strongly disagree

0%

Employ careful foresight in city planning that includes citizen review, reliance on peer-reviewed science, and comprehensive data collection and analysis.

1. Strongly agree



2. Somewhat agree

0%

3. Neutral

0%

4. Somewhat disagree

0%

5. Strongly disagree

0%

Involve all citizens in decisions that impact their lives, property and neighborhoods through the use of a facilitated process such as collaborative decision-making.

1. Strongly agree



2. Somewhat agree

0%

3. Neutral



4. Somewhat disagree

0%

5. Strongly disagree

0%

Embrace a people-centered, long-term perspective that nurtures neighborhood and community interactions and with flexibility to meet future needs without compromising the needs and interests of current residents.

1. Strongly agree



2. Somewhat agree



3. Neutral



4. Somewhat disagree



5. Strongly disagree

0%

Preserve unique natural resources for the community and its current residents.

1. Strongly agree



2. Somewhat agree



3. Neutral

0%

4. Somewhat disagree

0%

5. Strongly disagree

0%

Attract and sustain businesses that should serve the whole community.



For future consideration: “I feel that there are pieces missing from this vision and these values and the City should consider continuing this discussion to explore additional components to the above.”

1. Strongly agree



2. Somewhat agree



3. Neutral



4. Somewhat disagree

0%

5. Strongly disagree

0%